Polyol mediated nano size zinc oxide and nanocomposites with poly(methyl methacrylate)
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Abstract. Organophilic nano ZnO particles have been synthesized in various diols (ethylene glycol – EG, 1,2 propane diol – PD, 1,4 butane diol – BD and tetra(ethylene glycol) – TEG) in the presence of p-toluenesulfonic acid, p-TsOH, as an end capping agent. The addition of p-TsOH reduces the ZnO particle size and increases its crystallite size. With increasing diol main chain length the ZnO particle size increases (EG (32 nm) < PD (33 nm) < BD (72 nm) < TEG (86 nm)). Using the as-synthesized and unmodified ZnO nanocomposites with poly(methyl methacrylate), PMMA, matrix have been prepared by the in-situ bulk polymerization of methyl methacrylate, MMA. The addition of surface modifiers is avoided which is an advantage for the application since they can influence other properties of the material. ZnO particles, especially those with smaller particle sizes (EG – 32 nm, PD – 33 nm) showed enhanced effect on the thermal stability of PMMA, ultraviolet, UV, absorption and transparency for visible light. Transparent materials with high UV absorption and with enhanced resistance to sunlight were obtained by optimizing the nanocomposite preparation procedure using ZnO particles of about 30 nm size in concentrations between 0.05 and 0.1 wt%. The reported nanocomposite preparation procedure is compatible with the industrial process of PMMA sheet production.
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1. Introduction

Zinc (II) oxide, ZnO, is conventionally used as a catalyst [1] and initiator in various chemical reactions [2], gas sensor material [3, 4], UV absorber and pigment in cosmetics [5] and paints [6, 7]. It is widely used in various electronic applications such as material for varistors etc. [8, 9]. Recently it has been intensively studied as a promising material for optoelectronic devices such as light emitting diodes (LED) and flat display screens [10, 11]. ZnO is considered as an interesting material for solar applications due to its unique combination of optical and semiconducting properties [12]. ZnO has an optical band gap in the UV region and this makes it an extremely efficient UV absorber [13]. It is also an environmentally friendly material, at least in the micrometer particle size range.

ZnO can be synthesized by various synthetic paths in various shapes and particle sizes [14–16]. Advanced applications require nanoparticles with narrow particle size distribution and defined particle shape. Therefore, the research activities on nanoscale ZnO synthesis and characterization have increased significantly in the last few years. Various synthetic approaches have been reported in the literature, including hydrothermal [17–19] and solvothermal methods [20–22], microemulsion [23], sol-gel method [24], and thermal decomposition of precursors [25, 26]. These chemical processes are efficient methods for preparation of nanoparticles and may
be scaled up to produce large quantities of material [27, 28].

The polyol method is one of the solvothermal methods of ZnO synthesis involving the hydrolysis of ZnO precursors in various diols (polyols). Diols are solvents with medium polarity, dielectric constants are between 20 and 40, and they have high boiling temperatures. They can dissolve many zinc compounds and they act as solvents, reducing, as well as stabilizing agents and prevent particle growth [29–31]. Synthesis of inorganic particles in organic media is attractive because it produces particles with an organophilic surface originating from an organic medium or its degradation products. Such particles need no additional surface modification for polymer nanocomposite applications.

Poly(methyl methacrylate) is a thermoplastic material with outstanding optical properties and favorable mechanical as well as processing properties. Due to its favorable properties it can replace inorganic glass in many applications [32]. It is known that a combination of organic polymer matrices and inorganic particles offers materials with enhanced mechanical, thermal, electrical, magnetic, optical and many other specific properties. By reducing the size of filler particles to the nanometer range, their specific surface area as well as the interface area in composites is substantially increased and, consequently, their impact on composite properties is either enhanced or the same impact is achieved at lower concentrations of the filler. By combining the nano ZnO and PMMA matrix, transparent materials with high UV absorption can be prepared, providing that homogeneous particle distribution in the polymer matrix is achieved. For that reason the particle surface needs to be hydrophobically modified. In most cases various organic ligands are used for modification, such as: alkyl silanes, oligomeric silicones, alkyl phosphonic or fatty acids as well as various statistical and block copolymers [33–37]. By in-situ bulk polymerization of MMA in the presence of ZnO nanoparticles, transparent ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites with a high concentration of ZnO have already been prepared [38–43].

The aim of our work was first to synthesize nano ZnO with organophilic surface and narrow particle size distribution by the polyol method in various diols. p-Toluenesulfonic acid as an end capping agent was used at high concentrations, giving ZnO particles with average sizes between 20 and 100 nm in gram quantities. Second, we tested the as-synthesized nano ZnO for preparation of homogeneous and transparent ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites with high UV absorption and with improved thermal stability.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials

Ethylene glycol (Merck, p.a.); 1,2 propane diol (for synthesis, Merck, Germany); 1,4 butane diol (99%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA); Tetra(ethylene glycol) (99%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA); Zinc (II) acetate monohydrate Zn(Ac)2 (99%, ACS reagent, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA); p-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate – p-TsOH (98.5%, ACS reagent, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA); Methyl methacrylate (99%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA); 1,1-Azobis(1-cyclohexancarbonitri-le) – AICN (98%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA); ethanol (96%, technical, Ke-Fo, Slovenia).

2.2. Synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles

Zinc (II) acetate (1.0 M), p-TsOH (0.1 M) and deionised water (2 mol/1 mol Zn) were mixed with 60 ml of EG, PD, BD or TEG and sonicated for 10 min. The mixture was transferred into a 250 ml glass reactor equipped with a mixer, condenser and digital thermometer. The temperature and color changes of the reaction medium were monitored over time. The temperature was raised over about 30 min to 170°C and kept constant for 30 min with constant stirring. Between 70 and 90°C, Zn(Ac)2 dissolved in the glycol and the solution became transparent. After 10 minutes at 170°C the solution became white, and after 60 minutes of reaction a white suspension of ZnO was obtained. The suspension was left overnight and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 min to separate the particles from the supernatant. Subsequently, the ZnO was washed twice with ethanol followed by forced separation of ZnO particles in a centrifuge (8000 rpm, 20 min). The obtained ZnO powder was left to air dry. Synthesis of ZnO without p-TsOH was done at Zn(Ac)2 concentration of 0.1 M.

2.3. Synthesis of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites

Nanocomposites of synthesized ZnO particles and PMMA matrix were prepared by the radical chain
polymerization of MMA in bulk in three variations: radical polymerization between glass plates starting directly from the ZnO dispersion in monomer – MMA (Procedure A); polymerization between glass plates starting from the previously prepared dispersion of ZnO in prepolymer (Procedure B); polymerization between two glass plates starting from ZnO prepolymer dispersion polymerized during constant sonication (Procedure C). The nanocomposite plate thickness using procedure A was 1.5 mm, while procedures B and C gave nanocomposite plates with a thickness of 3.5 mm. Procedures B and C were applied to reduce the shrinking of PMMA during polymerization and also to reduce the size or break up of the agglomerates of nano ZnO particles in the PMMA prepolymer.

Procedure A. An initiator AICN (0.0163 mol.%) was first dissolved in MMA. Various amounts of ZnO powder, synthesized in diols (0.01, 0.1 and 1.0 wt%), were suspended in this solution by mixing and sonication. The solution was sonicated for 20 min and was then transferred into the glass plate mold and sonicated for an additional 5 min. The glass mold was put into the water bath and MMA was polymerized for 20 hours at 75°C. After 20 hours, the molds were taken from the bath, and PMMA sheets with a thickness of 1.5 mm were separated from the glass plate molds.

Procedure B. An initiator AICN (0.0163 mol.%) was first dissolved in MMA. Various amounts of ZnO powder, synthesized in diols (0.01, 0.1 and 1.0 wt%), were suspended in this solution by mixing and sonication. The solution was sonicated for 20 min and was then transferred into the glass reactor equipped with mixer, reflux condenser and thermometer. The dispersion was heated at 80°C for 2 hours during constant mixing to partially polymerize the MMA. After 2 hours the prepolymer was cooled down; 20% of the new initiator dissolved in 5 ml of MMA was added and sonicated again for 20 min. This prepolymer was transferred to a glass mold which was put into the water bath, and MMA was polymerized for 20 hours at 75°C. After 20 hours, the molds were taken from the bath and PMMA sheets with a thickness of 3.5 mm were separated from the glass plate molds.

Procedure C. Procedure C differed from procedure B only in that the reactor was constantly sonicated during synthesis of the prepolymer.

2.4. Characterization methods
The chemical composition of the intermediates and obtained particles was studied by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, FTIR using an FTIR spectrometer Spectrum One (Perkin Elmer, Beaconsfield, Great Britain) in the spectral range between 400 and 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) with a spectral resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\) in transmittance mode using the KBr pellets technique.

The morphology and size of the synthesized particles were studied by scanning electron microscopy – SEM. SEM micrographs of gold sputtered samples were taken on a Zeiss Supra 35 VP field emission electron microscope (Ziess, Oberkocken, Germany) at an acceleration voltage of 3.37 or 5.0 kV using mixed in-lens and secondary electrons detectors at a ratio of 0.75/0.25 and a working distance between 3–6 mm. For SEM microscopy, ZnO powders were placed on conductive film and sputtered with Au. The ZnO particle distribution in ZnO/PMMA nanocomposite materials was studied by the scanning transmission electron microscopy – STEM of ultramicromated sections of the nanocomposites. STEM micrographs were taken on a Zeiss Supra 35 VP at an acceleration voltage of 20.0 kV and working distance of 4.5–5 mm using a STEM electron detector. For STEM and HR TEM microscopy, ZnO particles were dispersed in an organic solvent (e.g. ethanol) by sonication and a drop of dispersion was transferred to a Cu grid and dried. ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites were sectioned on the ultramicrotome Leica Ultracut (Leica, Vienna, Austria) to a thickness between 80 and 250 nm. Particle size distribution of ZnO particles was obtained from STEM micrographs by image analysis using the Image Tool software.

The sizes of ZnO nanoparticles and their aggregates in MMA were measured by dynamic light scattering using the 3D-DLS-SLS spectrometer (LS Instruments, Fribourg, Switzerland) equipped with 20 mV He-Ne laser (Uniphase JDL 1145 P) operating at 632.8 nm. Scattering was measured at an angle of 90°. Samples in the scattering cells were immersed in a large diameter bath thermostated at 20°C, and ten measurements of 60 s were recorded for each sample and averaged afterwards. In a DLS experiment the translational diffusion coefficient \(D\) is determined while the hydrodynamic radius \(R_h\) is calculated from \(D\) using Stokes-Einstein equation.
The viscosity of the solvent (MMA) needed for this calculation was $\eta = 0.6$ cP at 20°C. The molecular weight distribution of the PMMA matrix was determined by size exclusion chromatography, SEC, on a modular system composed of an isocratic pump – Hewlett Packard 1100 Series (Hewlett Packard, Germany), an AM polymer GPC gel linear (1000–5 000 000 g/mol) column (AM polymer, USA), and a precolumn (AM polymer GPC gel, linear), while a differential refractometer – Hewlett Packard Agilent 1160 series (Hewlett Packard, Germany) was used as a detector. The solvent was tetrahydrofuran (THF) with a flow rate of 1 ml/min, injection volume 50 µl and a detector temperature 25°C. PMMA standards were used for calibration. PMMA specimens were dissolved in THF (conc. = 2 mg/ml) and filtered using Millipore – Millex PTFE LCR filters (Millipore, USA) with a pore diameter of 0.45 µm.

Crystalline fractions of the synthesized powders were characterized by wide angle X-ray diffraction (XRD) on an XPert Pro diffractometer (PANalytical, Netherlands) with a Cu anode as the X-ray source. X-ray diffractograms were measured at 25°C in the 2θ range from 2 to 90° with a step of 0.04° and step time of 1 s. Crystallite sizes were calculated using the Scherrer formula, and an Si wafer was used to determine the experimental broadening.

Thermal properties of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites were studied on a Netzsch STA 409 instrument (Netzsch, Selb, Germany). DTG curves were measured in the temperature range between 50–600°C with a heating rate of 1°C/min and an air flux of 100 ml/min. The sample quantity was close to 50 mg.

UV-VIS spectra of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites were measured on an Agilent 8453 UV-VIS spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) in the spectral range between 290 and 380 nm. 1.6 mm thick sheets of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites were cut to the width of a quartz cuvette. Resistance to sun light was measured according to the ISO 4892-12 standard by exposing the specimen to a xenon light in an Atlas Sun Test CPS+ chamber (Atlas, Altenham, Germany) for 720 hours. The color change, $\Delta E$, was measured by Datacolor CR-10 color reader (Minolta, Japan).

$^{13}$C nuclear magnetic resonance spectra, NMR of PMMA matrices were recorded on a Varian Unity Inova 30 MHz spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, California, USA) under the following quantitative conditions: pulse 90°, delay 2.0 s, acquisition time 2.0 s, and temperature 25°C. DMSO-d6 was added in an insert and the spectra were referenced to its resonance at 39.5 ppm.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Synthesis of nano ZnO

Synthesis of ZnO was performed in various diols (BD, TEG, EG and PD) with the addition of p-TsOH, which, according to the literature, acts as a catalyst [14]. Yields of the reaction were between 25 and 35%. Nano ZnO powders were characterized by SEM, STEM, XRD and FTIR. The SEM and HRTEM micrographs show ZnO nanoparticles, synthesized in various diols with p-TsOH (Figure 1a–d). Particles are predominantly crystallites with sizes between 30 and 100 nm as estimated from SEM micrographs (Table 1). By the image analysis of STEM micrographs average particle sizes were calculated and are summarized in Table 1 as average Ferret diameters. The average Ferret particle diameter of ZnO, synthesized in various diols, decreases from 86.2 nm (TEG) and 71.7 nm (BD), to 33.3 nm (PD) and 32.1 nm (EG). When particle size is presented as a function of the diols’ structure, a correlation between the chain length between two OH groups of the diol and the average size of the ZnO particles was observed. Diols with a shorter chain length produce ZnO with a smaller average

### Table 1. Crystallite sizes and average Ferret particle diameters of ZnO particles synthesized in various diols as well as average Ferret particle diameters of ZnO in nanocomposites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEG no p-TsOH</td>
<td>300–400</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>340.0±56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEG</td>
<td>50–100</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td>86.2±27</td>
<td>135.9±46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>50–150</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>71.7±20</td>
<td>122.3±41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>30–50</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>33.3±12</td>
<td>104.0±31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG</td>
<td>20–40</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>32.1±13</td>
<td>108.4±33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
particle size and *vice versa*. Similar observations were also reported by Jézéquel *et al.* [29]. The electron diffraction patterns of all the nano ZnO (Figure 1a–d) are similar and a representative pattern is shown in Figure 1f indicating that this ZnO is polycrystalline.

XRD diffractograms of all the ZnO powders synthesized in the presence of p-TsOH show characteristic diffraction peaks of crystalline ZnO with hexagonal zincite structure (PDF 00-036-1451) at 2θ values: 31.8; 34.5; 36.2; 47.6; 56.6; 62.9; 66.4; 67.9; 69.1; 72.6; 76.9° [45]. Since all the diffrac-

---

**Figure 1.** SEM and HRTEM micrographs of nano ZnO particles, synthesized with p-TsOH in various diols: a) EG, b) PD, c) BD, d) TEG, e) TEG without p-TsOH, f) electron diffraction pattern of nano ZnO – 32 nm (EG) and g) electron diffraction pattern of ZnO – 340 nm (TEG without p-TsOH)
tograms are almost identical, only two samples are shown (Figure 2). Very narrow diffraction peaks indicate relatively large crystallite sizes, and crystallite sizes – calculated by the Scherrer equation [46] (Table 1), agree well with particle sizes from SEM micrographs, indicating that ZnO particles, synthesized in the presence of p-TsOH, are highly crystalline. When ZnO was prepared in TEG without p-TsOH by the same procedure as described above (Figure 1e), particles of submicrometer size were obtained. They have an irregular spherical shape and narrow particle size distribution. The particle size is estimated from SEM micrographs to be between 300 and 400 nm. Synthesis of ZnO by the polyol method in other diols (BD, EG, PD) without p-TsOH did not give ZnO of a particulate shape. The XRD diffractogram of ZnO, synthesized in TEG with no p-TsOH (Figure 2A), shows relatively wide characteristic ZnO diffraction maxima indicating small crystallite sizes (17.5 nm, Table 1). Comparing the particle size and crystallite size of these particles, it is evident that they are actually agglomerates of nanocrystallites as is confirmed by SEM and HR TEM micrographs (Figure 1e). The electron diffraction pattern of this sample (Figure 1g) shows that this ZnO is polycrystalline. Crystallites are most probably organized in plates, which can be observed also on SEM micrograph (Figure 1e), with axes rotated for a few ° one to another. Comparison of average Ferret diameters and crystallite sizes of ZnO, synthesized in TEG with and without the addition of p-TsOH (Table 1), leads to the conclusion that added p-TsOH prevents the agglomeration of nanocrystallites, thus substantially reducing the ZnO particle size (Figure 1d and e). FTIR spectra of all ZnO powders, synthesized with and without p-TsOH, show dominant characteristic ZnO absorption bands between 420 and 480 cm⁻¹, which correspond to two transverse optical stretching modes of ZnO [47]. Since all the FTIR spectra are practically identical only two of them are shown (Figure 3). Additional absorption bands of organic species at 1590, 1415 and 1340 cm⁻¹ were observed in all the samples. They may originate from the tetra nuclear oxo zinc acetate cluster (Zn₄O(CH₃COO)₆) [48, 49] and are an indication of an organophilic particle surface. Zn₄O(CH₃COO)₆ species were identified by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy by Berkesi et al. [50]. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of TEG solution after 20 min of reaction (Figure 4b) shows a strong carbonyl peak of acetylated TEG at 171.2 and 20.4 ppm, indicating that a part of acetate groups from Zn(Ac)₂ are involved in the esterification reaction. The resulting ZnO forms a complex with three molecules of Zn(Ac)₂, generating a Zn₄O(CH₃COO)₆ cluster. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of the TEG solution after 20 min of reaction (Figure 4b) there are signals at 23.0 and 180.1 ppm, which differ from the signals of Zn(Ac) in TEG (22.4 and 178 ppm – Figure 4a). Zn(Ac)₂ and Zn₄O(CH₃COO)₆ are similar molecules with respect to CH₃ groups of acetate and therefore their signals in NMR spectra can not greatly differ. Nevertheless, the shift of carbonyl signal to the lower field by 2.1 ppm is favorable to the Zn₄O(CH₃COO)₆ cluster. It is reported that the Zn₄O(CH₃COO)₆ cluster can grow to larger clusters, such as Zn₁₀O₄(CH₃COO)₁₂ and Zn₃₄O₁₆(CH₃COO)₂₄.
and so on, which are precursors for the formation of ZnO particles containing a Zn(CH₃COO)₂ layer on the surface. Based on ¹³C NMR results, we propose the following reaction scheme (Equations (1) and (2)) of Zn acetate hydrolysis in diols [52]:

4Zn(CH₃COO)₂ + H₂O + HO–R–HO ↔ Zn₄O(CH₃COO)₆ + CH₃COO–R–OOCH₃ + 2H₂O

(1)

Zn₄O(CH₃COO)₆ → 3Zn(CH₃COO)₂ + ZnO

(2)

3.2. Synthesis of nanocomposites

Nanocomposites of unmodified as-synthesized ZnO particles and PMMA matrix were prepared by the free radical polymerization of MMA in bulk between two glass plates. For the preparation of homogeneous ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites the dispersion stability of nanoparticles in the MMA monomer and the degree of their aggregation are of key importance. The dispersion stability of the nano ZnO was studied by dynamic light scattering by monitoring the hydrodynamic radius – \( R_h \) of ZnO nanoparticles in the MMA monomer. Results, summarized in Table 2, show higher values of \( R_h \) at higher ZnO concentration as was expected, however, \( R_h \) is practically independent of time. Comparison of \( R_h \) values after 10 and 25 min shows a slight increase in size while at longer times (45 min) \( R_h \) remains constant or even slightly decreases, meaning that nano ZnO dispersions in MMA are stable for at least 45 min. \( R_h \) values are larger as compared to average Ferret diameters of neat ZnO particles (Table 1), indicating that agglomerates consist of around four to eight individual particles. \( R_h \) of ZnO particles, synthesized in BD, at a concentration of 0.1 wt% could not be measured due to intense multiple scattering as a consequence of intense particle aggregation. The stability of ZnO nanoparticles in MMA can be ascribed to strong interaction between the ZnO surface...

Table 2. Hydrodynamic radii of ZnO particles in MMA dispersion in dependence on the concentration and time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample designation</th>
<th>ZnO [wt%]</th>
<th>( R_h ) [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time (10 min)</td>
<td>Time (25 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (TEG)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (TEG)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (BD)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (BD)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (EG)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (EG)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (PD)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (PD)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. ¹³C NMR spectra of: a) Zn(Ac)₂ solution in TEG and b) reaction intermediates of Zn(Ac)₂ hydrolysis in TEG after 20 min of reaction with p-TsOH: A) carbonyl region, B) aliphatic carbon region.
face and the carbonyl group of MMA [53]. Molar 
mass averages of PMMA were measured by SEC in 
dependence of the polymerization time. At 45 min-
utes of polymerization, molar mass averages of 
PMMA reach values above 100 000 g/mol and due 
to the high viscosity of the medium, ZnO particles 
remain trapped in the polymer, and their sedimen-
tation is prevented despite their seven times higher 
density compared to MMA.

Nanocomposites were first prepared by simply dis-
persing nano ZnO in MMA using sonication and by 
transferring the resulting dispersion into a glass 
mould which was put into a water bath at 75°C 
(Procedure A). STEM micrographs in Figure 5 
show the distribution of ZnO in PMMA/ZnO nano-
composites. ZnO particles, synthesized in BD (Fig-
ure 5a) and those synthesized in TEG (Figure 5b) 
form larger agglomerates, while ZnO, synthesized 
in PD or EG, formed small, extended agglomerates 
which are homogeneously distributed in the PMMA 
matrix (Figure 5c and d). The lower number of par-
ticles or agglomerates in Figure 5a and b can be 
explained that larger ZnO particles form larger 
agglomerates which can partly undergo sedimenta-
tion. Ferret diameters calculated by the Image 
Analysis of ZnO particles in TEM micrographs of 
nanocomposites are shown in Table 1 in compari-
son with Ferret diameters of neat ZnO particles. It 
can be seen that average Ferret diameters of ZnO in 
nanocomposites are larger than those of neat ZnO 
particles, which means that in nanocomposites ZnO 
is slightly agglomerated (Table 1). It forms aggreg-
tes of four to eight individual ZnO particles. The 
agglomeration process is more intense for smaller 
particles because they provide a larger specific sur-
face area, and thus the higher van der Waals force 
that causes more intense ZnO aggregation.

Many inorganic nanoparticles enhance the thermal 
stability of PMMA, when MMA is polymerized in 
their presence [54]. The addition of nano ZnO also 
thermally stabilizes the PMMA matrix [37, 54, 55]. 
The DTG curve of pure PMMA (Figure 6) shows
three degradation peaks at 270, 330 and 370°C, which can be explained by the degradation of pure PMMA studied in nitrogen atmosphere [56]. When the temperature is increased from room temperature to 600°C [56], there are three steps: the first one is degradation of head to head linkages between 140 and 180°C, the second one is scission of vinylidene double bonds between 200 and 300°C, and the last step is random scission of the PMMA chain between 300 and 380°C. Our measurements were done in air, and it is known that oxygen stabilizes radicals below 300°C (i.e. shifts degradation peaks to higher temperatures). Therefore degradation peaks in air are in the narrower temperature region, but for the assignment of degradation peaks the results obtained in N₂ are still useful. DTG curves of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites (Figure 6a and b) show much less intense peaks of vinylidene double bond degradation between 200 and 300°C and a stronger peak of random scission of the PMMA chain above 300°C compared to pure PMMA. It is evident that thermal stabilization is in correlation with the particle size: ZnO with particle size below 50 nm (Figure 6a C and D) shows more intense thermal stabilization compared to ZnO with particle size above 50 nm (Figure 6a A and B) and shifts of 5 and 20% weight loss decomposition temperatures, observed in TGA curves (Table 3), support this conclusion. This can be attributed to the higher specific surface of ZnO with smaller average particle size causing stronger interaction with the PMMA matrix. The degradation peak of vinylidene double bonds reduces significantly with the increase of nano ZnO content (Figure 6b), indicating that their concentration may be reduced. Actually, it is reported in the literature that nano ZnO significantly reduces the vinylidene double bond concentration in PMMA [54, 57]. DTG curves of samples with various concentrations of ZnO show that 1 wt% of ZnO is necessary to significantly change the degradation mechanism and to shift the degradation of PMMA towards higher temperatures (Figure 6b). Many authors report that relatively low filler loading (1%) causes considerable temperature stabilization. Such low filler loadings can be explained by the large specific surface area of the ZnO nanofiller and by the lower concentration of vinylidene double bonds.

The most interesting property of ZnO from the viewpoint of its application in polymers is its extremely efficient absorption in the UV region because of its wide direct band gap of 3.37 eV [58]. The addition of nano ZnO results in substantial UV absorption.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** DTG curves of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites: a) as a function of ZnO particle size (1 wt% ZnO) A) 86 nm, B) 72 nm, C) 32 nm, D) 33 nm, E) PMMA; b) as a function of ZnO concentration (ZnO – 33 nm): A) PMMA, B) 0.01 wt%, C) 0.1 wt % ZnO, D) 1.0 wt% ZnO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample designation</th>
<th>Particle size [nm]</th>
<th>Tₓ – 5% weight loss [°C]</th>
<th>Tₓ – 20% weight loss [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (TEG)/PMMA</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (BD)/PMMA</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (EG)/PMMA</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (PD)/PMMA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The absorption of PMMA/ZnO nanocomposites and therefore enhances the UV resistance of such materials [35, 42, 59, 60]. Transmittances at various UV wavelengths for ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites are summarized in Table 3. UV absorption of ZnO/PMMA is very high in the wavelength region between 290 and 370 nm: 98% of the incident UV light is absorbed by 0.1 or 1% of nano ZnO in the composite (Table 4). Even at a very low concentration (0.01%) of nano ZnO, UV absorption is still between 60 and 90% of the incident UV light intensity, depending on the ZnO particle size.

Due to the application aspect we focused on the preparation of a PMMA nanocomposite with high UV opacity (absorption) and with optimal visible transparency, using the unmodified as-synthesized nano ZnO with the smallest particle sizes (ZnO EG 32 nm; ZnO PD 33 nm) to reduce their optical scattering. Measured hydrodynamic radii (Table 2) show that even aggregates formed by 4–8 ZnO particles of these two ZnO samples have sizes close to 100 nm at ZnO concentration of 0.01 wt%. For the preparation of larger PMMA sheets (15×20 cm) we used the prepolymer procedure which is used industrially because it gives a product with excellent optical transparency [61]. Its purpose is to reduce the shrinking during the polymerization of MMA, thus preventing the formation of bubbles in the PMMA sheet. In order to additionally slow down the reagglomeration of ZnO particles after the sonication the concentration of nano ZnO was reduced to 0.05 wt%. Surprisingly, UV absorption of these samples did not deteriorate, while their visible transparency was substantially enhanced (Figure 7a and b). The influence of particle size on the UV-VIS absorption properties can be demonstrated by comparing the UV-VIS spectra of submicrometer ZnO (350 nm) composite (Figure 7a A and Figure 7b A) with those of nano ZnO (32 or 33 nm) composite (Figure 7a B and Figure 7b B). Submicrometer ZnO composite

**Table 4.** UV transmittance of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites (thickness of the plates is 1.5 mm – Procedure A) in dependence on ZnO concentration and particle size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size [nm]</th>
<th>ZnO [wt%]</th>
<th>Transmittance at different wavelengths in the UV region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>290 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>6.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. UV-VIS spectra of PMMA/ZnO nanocomposites in dependence of ZnO concentration and nanocomposite preparation procedure: a) ZnO synthesized in EG A) submicrometer (350 nm) ZnO 0.1% – procedure B, B) 0.1% – procedure B, C) 0.05% – procedure B, D) 0.05% – procedure C, E) pure PMMA; b) ZnO synthesized in PD A) submicrometer (350 nm) ZnO 0.1% – procedure B, B) 0.1% – procedure B, C) 0.05% – procedure B, D) 0.05% – procedure C, E) pure PMMA
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shows reduced absorption in the UV region and poor transparency for visible light compared to the nano ZnO composite.

TEM micrographs of PMMA/ZnO nanocomposites prepared by the prepolymer procedure show homogeneously distributed ZnO particles with particle sizes up to 100 nm and only a few agglomerates with sizes between 100 and 300 nm (Figure 8). Rather low number of particles in TEM micrographs (Figure 8a and b) may cause doubts about the high UV absorption of these nanocomposites. The particles in Figure 8b were counted and there were 106 particles or agglomerates in a 100 nm thick section. Considering that the thickness of nanocomposite plate is 3.5 mm, and assuming that nano ZnO is uniformly distributed in PMMA matrix, this number should be multiplied with 3.5 \times 10^4 to get the number of particles through the entire cross-section of the plate, giving the final particle number of 3.7 \times 10^6. This is a very large number and therefore the probability that a photon of UV light hits or interacts with a ZnO particle is very high, explaining thus the very high UV absorption at rather low concentrations of nano ZnO.

The aggregation of nano ZnO particles was additionally reduced using sonication through the entire prepolymer synthesis (Procedure C). Sonication is very effective in breaking up the ZnO aggregates. After the sonication is stopped, ZnO particles can not reagglomerate again due to entrapment in PMMA chains. UV-VIS spectra of these samples (Figures 7a D and Figures 7b D) confirm that these materials are optically transparent with slightly yellowish color as a consequence of some optical scattering due to the presence of small amounts of larger agglomerates. Such materials have potential application as UV opaque or UV stabilized transparent PMMA sheets.

PMMA materials are often used in various outdoor applications in which they are exposed to high loads of sunlight. In such conditions the colorless transparent materials change their color to yellow or brown, and even their mechanical properties are deteriorated. We tested the resistance of the nanocomposites to sunlight by the Sun test (Table 5). Differences in ΔE values indicate a significant enhancement of ZnO/PMMA resistance to sunlight compared to pure PMMA. The color change (yellowing) is a consequence of the photodegradation of PMMA chains. There are two possible mechanisms which contribute to the reduction of yellowing in PMMA/ZnO nanocomposites. The first one is the high UV absorption of nano ZnO causing less UV light to penetrate into the material and causing degradation of PMMA. The second one is that nano ZnO causes changes in the chemical structure of PMMA (lower concentration of vinylidene chain end double bonds),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample designation</th>
<th>ZnO [wt%]</th>
<th>ΔE*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.6–10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (PD)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.1–4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (PD)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6–0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (EG)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4.1–4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO (EG)/PMMA</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7–2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ΔE is colour change measured by colorimeter relatively to the unexposed sample
if MMA is polymerized in its presence [30, 33]. Vinylidene double bonds are weak points where the photodegradation of PMMA starts and their lower concentration in PMMA chains means that such material is more resistant to photodegradation. UV-VIS spectra of PMMA/ZnO nanocomposites exposed to the sun test show a small decrease in visible transparency due to color change during the sun test (Figure 9). It can be also seen that ZnO particles synthesized in PD (Figure 9b) showed higher protection to sunlight than those synthesized in EG (Figure 9a) and that protection is more effective at higher ZnO concentration (0.1 wt%), as expected. These results indicate the high potential of these materials in applications with high exposure to sunlight.

Preparation of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites has attracted considerable attention in the last seven years. Most authors studied the preparation of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites in the form of thin films from the solution [36, 54, 58–60, 62]. In our case we used unmodified ZnO for the preparation of nanocomposites. Synthesized ZnO is organophilic due to the presence of organometallic intermediates and solvent (diol) residues on the particle surface. The synthetic procedure of ZnO filler preparation is quite simple and also easy to transfer to a pilot level. We used an industrially used prepolymer procedure for the preparation of 3.5 mm thick nanocomposite plates. Due to the absence of additional surface modification the highest nano ZnO concentration to obtain transparent materials is rather low (0.05–0.1 wt%) but we showed that even in this concentration range UV absorption (above 95%) and protection from sunlight are very high. The absence of surfactants is an advantage because they can change other properties of these materials (e.g. mechanical properties, sunlight stability), making them unsuitable for outdoor applications. UV absorption and sunlight resistance as well as thermal stability are additionally improved at nano ZnO concentrations higher than 0.1 wt% where visible transparency becomes rather poor. Such nanocomposites can be applied for various nontransparent PMMA materials such as aluminium hydroxide filled PMMA or other highly filled PMMA materials.

4. Conclusions

Nano ZnO particles have been synthesized by the polylol method in various diols (EG, PD, BD and TEG) with and without p-TsOH as an end capping agent. The addition of p-TsOH reduces the average particle size and increases the ZnO crystallinity as compared to ZnO prepared without p-TsOH. Particle sizes of nano ZnO particles, prepared in various diols, are in correlation with the diol chain length. With increased diol chain length the average particle size of ZnO is also increased (EG 32 nm, PD 33 nm, BD 72 nm, TEG 86 nm). Zn(II) acetate hydrolysis in diols proceeds through the $\text{Zn}_4\text{O(CH}_3\text{COO)}_6$ reaction intermediate as supported by FTIR and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy. Unmodified as-synthesized nano ZnO powders were used for the preparation of PMMA nanocomposites. Dispersions of ZnO particles in the monomer, MMA, in the concentration range between 0.01 and 0.1 wt% are stable for at least 45 min, although they form agglomerates consisting of two to six parti-

Figure 9. UV-VIS spectra of ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites exposed to sun test compared to unexposed samples in dependence of ZnO concentration: a) ZnO (EG – 32 nm), b) ZnO (PD – 33 nm): A) 0.1 wt% ZnO unexposed sample, B) 0.1 wt% ZnO, C) 0.05 wt% ZnO unexposed sample, D) 0.05 wt% ZnO, E) pure PMMA
cles. TEM micrographs of nanocomposites also show small agglomerates of ZnO nanoparticles homogeneously distributed in the PMMA matrix, thus confirming the results of DLS measurements. ZnO nanoparticles thermally stabilize the PMMA matrix by shifting its 5% weight loss degradation temperature from 10 to 30 °C towards higher temperatures in dependence on the particle size. ZnO with smaller average particle sizes show a more intense stabilization effect. Thermal stabilization of nanocomposites is dependent on the ZnO concentration: the addition of 1 wt% of ZnO shifts 5% weight loss degradation temperature by 30°C to higher temperatures, while at lower ZnO concentrations shifts are rather small. ZnO/PMMA nanocomposites exhibit excellent absorption of UV light in the region between 290 and 370 nm even at concentrations of only 0.05 wt% of nano ZnO. The transmittance of the visible light was optimized by reducing the ZnO concentration and by modifying the nanocomposite preparation procedure. Nanocomposites with efficient UV absorption and high visible light transmittance were prepared by the prepolymer procedure using constant sonication during the prepolymer synthesis at ZnO concentrations between 0.05 and 0.1 wt%. Nano ZnO significantly enhances the resistance to sunlight depending on the concentration of ZnO, thus giving these materials high potential in various applications with high UV and sunlight loads.
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